

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,097	08/28/2000	Hisashi Ishikura	Q60517	7802	
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			NGUYEN, NAM V		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	inia Avenue NW C. 20037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
washington, 2	2003.		2635		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۵,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{O}			
Advisory Action	09/649,097	ISHIKURA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nam V Nguyen	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of this application and the control of the cont	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	fthe final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>20</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed an	nendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
		•				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/649,097

Continuation of 2. NOTE: On page 18, Applicant amended and agrument that the Claim 1 is patentable at least for reasons similar to those set forth in claim 20. Applicant is advised that Claim 1 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicated thereof.

Referring to Claim 4, Claim 4 improperly broadens claim 1.

On page 19, applicant agrument that Flick in view of Scott fail to teach or suggest that identifier that is specific to the transmitter. Scott et al. disclose a personal identification device including an identifier storage memory for storing an ID code specific to said transmitter (column 5 lines 16 to 26; column 6 lines 54 to 61; see Figures 1-2 and 8) in order to associate an ID code with the remote controlled device when verifying for permission to configure the automotive remote key entry system.

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER, 2600